Gas/solid flow characteristics in a gas–solid cyclone reactor based on Euler/Lagrange approach
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Fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) is an important primary conversion in the oil refining industry.

Shortcomings of riser reactors

- Particles backmixing
- Overlong reaction time
- Products over-cracking

A downward gas-solid cyclone reactor was developed for conquering side reactions.
INTRODUCTION

- Gradient distribution-avoid the deep cracking
- Primary separator-separate gases and particles in real time

Research method

Experiment
The complex flow field in cyclone reactor restricted most of the experimental research

Simulation
CFD models play an increasingly important role in process design, and control and/or optimization of complex multiphase systems
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Table 1 – Detail sizes of the cold-model experimental system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Diameter (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Companion bed</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.5-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-lifting section</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riser</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripper</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.5-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SIMULATION

Model:
- RNG k-epsilon model
- Eulerian–Lagrangian approach

Outlet boundary:
- Gas: pressure outlet
- Particle: \( v_p = 4.15 \text{ m/s} \)
- Particulate loading: 0.31

Inlet boundary:
- Gas velocity: \( v_g = 13.76 \text{ m/s} \)
- Particle: \( v_p = 4.15 \text{ m/s} \)

Wall boundary:
- No-slip conditions
- Different coefficients of restitution

The particle diameter corresponding to the volume fractions 5%, 15%, . . ., 95% are assigned to the arranged parcels. And each incident parcel contains only one particle size.
The validation of the simulation

The pressure drop calculated by DDPM is more reasonable.

A satisfactory agreement has been achieved.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- Dynamic flow of particles

![Diagram showing particle flow and velocity over time](image-url)
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 Particle concentration

- Solid concentration is higher in strands.
- The phase interface structure composed of internal pure gas and external mixtures can be observed.
- The phase interface structure can prevent lights gas products from overcracking.
- The shape of the interface tends to be more circular.
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Particle concentration

- Particle concentration fluctuates around an appropriate value.
- The fluctuation is severe in the mixing chamber and annulus chamber.
- Clusters formation and fragmentation can be observed in the separation chamber.
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Dynamic flow of gas

- The characteristic of circling is well calculated by current model.
- Axial velocity is negative in the central region of the separation chamber.
- Tangential velocity is higher in the mixing chamber and annulus chamber.
- The radial velocity is smaller than other velocity components.
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- Kinetic energy of gas

\[ K_i = \sum_{cell=n}^{cell=1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) m_{gas} |v_{cell}|^2 \]

Tangential and axial kinetic energy accounts for 96.69%-97.11% of total kinetic.

Gas flow is dominated by tangential and axial velocities.
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Dynamic flow of gas

- Gas velocity decreases when particle clusters appear, and gas velocity increases when clusters break up.

Z=235 mm

Z=394 mm

Z=659 mm

Z=1309 mm
The results calculated by **DDPM** are more accurate than DPM.

Particles descend in **strands** in the conical segment and separation chamber.

**Tangential and axial** kinetic energy are accounting for 96.69%–97.11% of total kinetic energy and thus dominant.

The distribution of instantaneous particle concentration and the distribution of instantaneous gas velocity are **interrelated**. Gas velocity decreases when particle clusters appear, and gas velocity increases when clusters break up.
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