



# Investigating Bubble Dynamics In A Ratio Semi-cylindrical Gas-solid Fluidized Bed

### S.M. Okhovat-Alavian<sup>1</sup>, J. Behin<sup>1</sup>, N. Mostoufi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Petroleum and Chemical Engineering, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran
<sup>2</sup>Multiphase Systems Research Lab., School of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Iran

### **FLUIDIZATION XVI**

May 26 - 31, 2019 Guilin Shangri-La Hotel Guilin, China

## Contents



### **Problem statement**



### Literature review

Ref.	Bed type	Method	Particle	Major findings
Hatate et al. (1985)	Semi cylindrical	Imaging	Sand	<ul> <li>Application of bubble growth expression of 3D bed for semi cylindrical fluidized</li> <li>Application of bubble rise velocity expression of 3D bed for semi cylindrical fluidized</li> </ul>
Singh et al. (2005 & 2006)	Semi-cylindrical Cylindrical Hexagonal Square	Pressure drop	Dolomite Coal	<ul> <li>Under similar operating conditions minimum bubbling velocity is maximum in case of either semi-cylindrical or hexagonal fluidized beds and minimum in case of square one</li> <li>Also, bed fluctuation is maximum in case of square bed and minimum in semi-cylindrical one.</li> </ul>

### Literature review

Ref.	Bed type	Method	Particle	Major findings
Escudero et al. (2011& 2012)	Cylindrical	Pressure signals Imaging	Glass beads Walnut shell Corncob	<ul> <li>Minimum fluidization velocity is not affected by the change in bed height, but increases by material density</li> <li>Increasing the H/D ratio enhanced bubble coalescence creating slugs that flow thorough the center of the bed, producing regions of low gas holdup near the walls of the fluidized bed</li> <li>Bed hydrodynamics were similar for all bed heights, but differed when the material density was changed</li> </ul>
Badday et al. (2014)	Cylindrical	Pressure signals	Sand	<ul> <li>Minimum fluidization velocity increased as the particle size increased</li> <li>The bed voidage increased in the bottom section of bed and decreased in the other sections with increasing the gas superficial velocity</li> </ul>
Shabanian and Chaouki (2015)	Cylindrical	Pressure signals Fiber optic	Coated sugar	<ul> <li>Minimum fluidization velocity increases with interparticle forces</li> <li>Gas is more prone to pass through the bed in the emulsion phase when interparticle forces increase</li> <li>Enhancing interparticle forces will increase the bubbling to turbulent regime transition velocity</li> </ul>

### **Experimental setup**

100

14

perforated







# Cylindrical



**Experimental setup** 



### **Particle properties**

	Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Size (µm)
Glass bead I	2500	120
Glass bead II	2500	290
Glass bead III	2500	450

Initial bed height of 21 cm (L/D = 1.5)

Geldart group B







### Fiber optic signal analysis







C. Wen, Y. Yu, A generalized method for predicting the minimum fluidization velocity, AIChE Journal, 12 (1966) 610-612. 12/26



### **Power spectral density function of pressure fluctuations**









### **Distribution of local bed voidage**







**E(-)**3

0.75

0.95

0.55

### **Distribution of local bed voidage**



H. Cui, N. Mostoufi, and J. Chaouki, "Characterization of dynamic gas–solid distribution in fluidized 18/26 beds," Chemical Engineering Journal, vol. 79, pp. 133-143, 2000.



### **Bubble rise velocity**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Average bubble size

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Average bubble size Introduction 8 Literature review 7 Average bubble size (cm) 6 Experimental procedure 5 Data analysis 4 $\Rightarrow$ dp = 120 $\mu$ m ■dp = 290 µm 3 $\triangle dp = 450 \ \mu m$ **Results & discussion** 2 0.2 0.3 0.1 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0 Conclusion $U_g$ - $U_{mf}$ (m/s)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Conclusions

According to standard deviation results,  $U_{mf}$  and  $U_c$  were independent of the cross-section of fluidized bed.

PSDF of pressure fluctuations showed that increasing the gas velocity leads to formation of larger clusters and increases number and size of bubbles in the bed.

PSDFs in both beds are very similar with minor differences in intensity in low frequencies at high gas velocity. Thus, number of large bubbles in the semi-cylindrical bed is slightly higher than in the cylindrical bed at high velocity.

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mori and Wen for bubble growth and Davidson and Harison for bubble rise velocity were found to be applicable to both beds.

Results indicated that the hydrodynamics and bubble dynamics parameters in semi-cylindrical fluidized bed is in compliance with the cylindrical fluidized bed.

Conclusion

5

6

Problem statement

Literature review

Design & procedure

Data analysis

Results & discussion

Measuring bubble parameters in the semi-cylindrical bed was much easier than in the cylindrical bed. So semi-cylindrical bed is a very useful tool for being employed for cold model works in laboratories instead of two dimensional or cylindrical fluidized.

# **Thanks for your attention**