

Rubric for CAST Directors Student Presentation Award – Abstract Selection

Criteria	Excellent (3)	Very Good (2)	Average (1)	Percentage
Writing (Based on: Abstract)	Abstract is very well-written, ideas and importance clearly communicated, no errors.	Abstract is well-written and clear but might have some minor typos.	Abstract may have several grammatical/syntax errors and/or is missing clarity.	15/100
Significance/ Impact (Based on: Abstract/ Advisor's Letter)	Topic is highly significant to PSE/CAST and if successful would have tremendous impact to field	Topic is significant to PSE/CAST and if successful would have impact to field	Topic could be significant to PSE/CAST and if successful could have some impact to field	35/100
Novelty (Based on: Abstract/ Advisor's Letter)	Methods/approach proposed are highly novel and creative.	Methods/approach proposed are novel.	Methods/approach proposed are somewhat novel but not as not clear.	35/100
Credential - Student is good role model (e.g., leadership in DEI efforts), likely to succeed/good presenter (Based on: 1-page resume, Advisor's letter)	Student has very strong CV for career stage and is very likely to complete high-quality work and give excellent presentation.	Student has strong CV for career stage and is very likely to complete work and give very presentation.	Student has ok CV for career stage and is likely to complete work and give good presentation.	15/100